



PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2024

ICM Limited

Generated 25-11-2024

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2024 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2024 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented. The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

| Module | Page |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS) | 4 |
| ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO) | 8 |
| POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS) | 20 |
| LISTED EQUITY (LE) | 41 |
| PRIVATE EQUITY (PE) | 48 |
| CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM) | 55 |

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| SLS 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Senior Leadership Statement | GENERAL |

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

ICM understands the importance of embedding responsible investment practices into our investment process and financial analysis. Incorporating these practices aligns with ICM's investment objective of providing long-term total returns for our clients and enables us to differentiate and identify risks and opportunities within companies and across different sectors and geographies. Analysing ESG factors and considering sustainability outcomes during the investment process also enables ICM to make more informed decisions; to better align investments with beneficiaries' objectives and to pursue risk-adjusted returns.

ICM incorporates responsible investment by embedding ESG considerations into each fund's investment process. This is achieved via the following phases: understanding, integration, and engagement. This approach is consistent across all funds, however, the level at which ESG practices are integrated differs depending on the asset class, sector, and jurisdiction. The way we approach each of the three ESG phases is outlined below.

Phase 1 - Understanding: we undertake an in-depth analysis of our potential and current holdings which results in unearthing the key issues and opportunities that these companies face, as well as forming a deep understanding of the industry in which they operate.

Phase 2 - Integration: we embed the output of phase 1 into the full company analysis to ensure a clear and complete picture of the investment opportunity is undertaken.

Phase 3 - Engagement: we engage with companies on key issues, and where possible hold face-to-face meetings to assist the investees' understanding of any identified risks or opportunities and guide them towards improving their ESG position (where required).

ICM is committed to implementing, regularly reviewing, and continually improving our responsible investment practices. We achieve this through regular ESG committee meetings where our responsible investment strategy is discussed. Further to this, ICM completes an annual review of its Responsible Investment policy. Any changes that occur to the responsible investment strategy are reported and signed off by the ICM board.

Further, ICM utilises proxy voting as a stewardship tool to influence corporate behaviours that could contribute positively to ESG outcomes. ICM has the discretion to determine the best course of action to maximise shareholder value, in accordance with our priorities and our fiduciary duty. Across key governance considerations, ICM has adopted guidelines that ensure ICM votes consistently. All proxies are reviewed carefully with final execution being based on a holistic view of ESG and financial considerations.

Each ICM fund is fully committed to making ESG analysis an inherent component of the research and investment decision-making process, and over time ICM believes this approach can reshape both our competitive advantages, and ultimately the sustainability of business growth and long-term value creation. ICM is also committed to regularly discussing ESG at the board level for each of our funds, and we actively encourage our investees to apply this discipline for their board meetings.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2023, ICM progressed its responsible investment strategy in line with feedback generated by the PRI reporting process. Areas of progress were identified within ICM's responsible investment strategy, and a plan was put in place by the ICM ESG Committee to implement these findings. The process has helped strengthen ICM's responsible investment approach while providing an enhanced framework in which to undertake the group's investment practices.

In particular, we actively progressed ICM's responsible investment practices in the following areas:

Transparency and Disclosure

One of the key themes was to review the group's internal Responsible Investment Policy and disclose it on our website. The main purpose was to enhance the level of ESG disclosure enabling stakeholders to understand in greater detail how ICM manages ESG risks and opportunities and conducts stewardship activities. Furthermore, this enhancement in transparency with our approach to responsible investment and disclosure of our Responsible Investment Policy enabled ICM to further demonstrate the group's commitment, whilst being held accountable for implementing our responsible investment practices.

Governance

In conjunction, with ICM committing to disclose the group's Responsible Investment Policy, ICM has taken the step to implement board level ESG-related key performance indicators ("KPIs") focused on both operational and fund ESG-related commitments. The KPIs are linked to key areas that ICM's ESG-related policies address. The KPIs are a permanent agenda item, to assess whether ICM has progressed and delivered on the approach set out in the policies. In time these KPIs will evolve in line with how ICM's strategy progresses. In addition, ICM has introduced an ESG sign-off for all new ICM investments made. This ensures that a responsible investment process has been followed and all ESG considerations have been taken into account when analysing each investment proposition. The ESG manager or one of the ESG Committee members is responsible for reviewing the data submitted and process undertaken before approval and investment made.

Portfolio Data Collection and Management

ESG data for our internal analysis is sourced directly from company reports or, when disclosure is limited, management of investee companies is consulted. We have found that the quality of data has improved over time which has enabled us to enhance analysis and with a greater breadth and disclosure of data reported supplement further our internal research and have more fertile conversations with our various boards. Through our in-house ESG template, we aim to complete an annual ESG review of each holding to understand the profile of each company and to report to the board on the most recent data. If there are any material ESG issues arising, these are assessed immediately to understand if there is any material impact on our investment position.

Proxy Voting and Reporting

During 2023, our ESG committee revised the ICM Proxy Voting policy, which was approved by the ICM Limited Board of Directors to provide further clarity around specific voting guidelines. This has enabled ICM to formalise its approach to voting on key corporate governance considerations and enhance consistency in our voting approach. Guidelines have been established on board independence, board accountability, CEO remuneration, shareholder approval, company reporting, and capital management. How each fund has voted is further communicated to the various boards.

Human Rights Position Statement

ICM has a human rights position statement that is disclosed on our website to formalise and disclose our commitment. Within ESG, human rights are the foundation of the 'social' pillar, hence, ICM undertakes due diligence across areas of the business so that we are aware of the actual and potential human rights impacts of our business activities and relationships. The position statement has buy-in at all levels of the business with the board of directors approving the statement. If any material concerns arise, these are reported to the board for review.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

ICM's ongoing commitment to responsible investment will focus on developing our climate-related analysis capabilities, reporting, and capacity building. Through proprietary ESG data, we are looking to improve the consistency and quality of our emissions data to undertake the analysis which will enable ICM to focus on absolute data that can then be used to calculate intensity figures that will be applied to understand ICM's climate risk exposure.

As part of launching ICM Global Funds ("ICMGF") in Singapore, ICM is currently enhancing its environmental risk management ("EnRM") framework by introducing a climate-related risk assessment that will help identify material transitional and physical risks posed to each investment. This move is guided by the Monetary of Singapore ("MAS") EnRM guidelines that have been embedded into ICM's climate framework. In 2024 and 2025 we will look to enhance this process and roll it out to the whole of the group to enhance ICM's ability to assess and manage investees climate-related risk and opportunities.

Initially, ICM will use the investee data to report internally to the various boards with the long-term plan for ICM to report externally in line with four pillars – governance, strategy, risk management and metrics and targets - set out by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD") framework. Reporting will not only increase the board's oversight of ICM's climate-related risks and opportunities but also enable the ESG committee to further refine the strategy and approach.

During the year ICM will look to conduct climate capacity building in the form of in-house training tailored toward climate-related risks. Internally ICM is developing a training presentation focused on the following four areas:

- 1) ESG and how it is integrated into the investment process.
- 2) How ICM is fulfilling the MAS EnRM requirements.
- 3) How to identify material ESG considerations.
- 4) Understanding the ESG template, climate risk assessment, and scenario analysis.

It will be rolled out to the ICMGF investment team before being delivered to the wider group.

We have been and will continue to establish sound climate risk management practices to enhance our resilience to environmental risk management. This will be focused on continuing to evolve our approach to assessing, monitoring, and reporting environmental risk factors beyond climate change.

During the years 2024 and 2025, ICM will look to strengthen its climate-related risk and opportunities assessment and reporting capabilities while carrying out climate-related training.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Charles Jillings

Position

Director

Organisation's Name

ICM Limited

A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

B

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| OO 1 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Reporting year | GENERAL |

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

| | Date | Month | Year |
|--|------|-------|------|
| Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes: | 31 | 12 | 2023 |

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| OO 2 | CORE | N/A | OO 2.1 | PUBLIC | Subsidiary information | GENERAL |

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| OO 2.1 | CORE | OO 2 | OO 2.2 | PUBLIC | Subsidiary information | GENERAL |

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| OO 4 | CORE | OO 3 | N/A | PUBLIC | All asset classes | GENERAL |

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 1,860,398,742.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| OO 5 | CORE | OO 3 | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown | GENERAL |

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

| | (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM | (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| (A) Listed equity | >50-75% | 0% |
| (B) Fixed income | >0-10% | 0% |
| (C) Private equity | >10-50% | 0% |
| (D) Real estate | 0% | 0% |
| (E) Infrastructure | 0% | 0% |
| (F) Hedge funds | 0% | 0% |
| (G) Forestry | 0% | 0% |
| (H) Farmland | 0% | 0% |
| (I) Other | 0% | 0% |
| (J) Off-balance sheet | 0% | 0% |

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| OO 5.3 LE | CORE | OO 5 | Multiple | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity | GENERAL |

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.

(A) Passive equity 0%

(B) Active – quantitative 0%

(C) Active – fundamental >75%

(D) Other strategies 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| OO 5.3 FI | CORE | OO 5 | Multiple | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income | GENERAL |

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA 0%

(B) Passive – corporate 0%

(C) Active – SSA 0%

(D) Active – corporate >75%

(E) Securitised 0%

(F) Private debt 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| OO 5.3 PE | CORE | OO 5 | N/A | PUBLIC | Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity | GENERAL |

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.

(A) Venture capital >75%

(B) Growth capital 0%

(C) (Leveraged) buy-out 0%

(D) Distressed, turnaround or special situations 0%

(E) Secondaries 0%

(F) Other 0%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| OO 7 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | N/A | PUBLIC | Geographical breakdown | GENERAL |

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity (6) >40 to 50%

(C) Fixed income – corporate (2) >0 to 10%

(F) Private equity (2) >0 to 10%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| OO 8 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Stewardship | GENERAL |

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

| | (1) Listed equity - active | (3) Fixed income - active | (5) Private equity |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (A) Yes, through internal staff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) Yes, through service providers | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (C) Yes, through external managers | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (D) We do not conduct stewardship | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| OO 9 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | GENERAL |

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

| | (1) Listed equity - active |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (A) Yes, through internal staff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) Yes, through service providers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (C) Yes, through external managers | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting | <input type="radio"/> |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 9.1 | CORE | OO 9 | PGS 10.1, PGS 31 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | GENERAL |

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active (12) 100%

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 11 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Internally managed assets | 1 |

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental

(F) Fixed income - corporate

(I) Private equity

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| OO 17 LE | CORE | OO 11 | OO 17.1 LE, LE 12 | PUBLIC | Listed equity | 1 |

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

| | |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| (A) Screening alone | 0% |
| (B) Thematic alone | 0% |
| (C) Integration alone | 0% |
| (D) Screening and integration | 0% |
| (E) Thematic and integration | 0% |
| (F) Screening and thematic | 0% |
| (G) All three approaches combined | >75% |
| (H) None | 0% |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| OO 17.1 LE | CORE | OO 17 LE | LE 9 | PUBLIC | Listed equity | 1 |

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only 0%

(B) Negative screening only >75%

(C) A combination of screening approaches 0%

FIXED INCOME

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| OO 17 FI | CORE | OO 5.3 FI, OO 11 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Fixed income | 1 |

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

(2) Fixed income - corporate

(A) Screening alone 0%

(B) Thematic alone 0%

(C) Integration alone >75%

(D) Screening and integration 0%

(E) Thematic and integration 0%

(F) Screening and thematic 0%

(G) All three approaches combined 0%

(H) None 0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 18 | CORE | OO 11–14 | OO 18.1 | PUBLIC | Labelling and marketing | 1 |

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 21 | CORE | Multiple indicators | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Summary of reporting requirements | GENERAL |

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

| Applicable modules | (1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses) | (2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module | (2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module |
|--|--|---|---|
| Policy, Governance and Strategy | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Confidence Building Measures | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (C) Listed equity – active – fundamental | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (F) Fixed income – corporate | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

PRIVATE EQUITY: SECTORS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| OO 22 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Private equity: Sectors | GENERAL |

In which sector(s) are your internally managed private equity assets invested?

- (A) Energy
- (B) Materials
- (C) Industrials
- (D) Consumer discretionary
- (E) Consumer staples
- (F) Healthcare
- (G) Financials
- (H) Information technology
- (I) Communication services
- (J) Utilities
- (K) Real estate

PRIVATE EQUITY: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| OO 23 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Private equity: Ownership level | GENERAL |

What is the percentage breakdown of your internally managed private equity investments by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)
 - Select from the list:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75%
- (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)
 - Select from the list:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75%
- (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)
 - Select from the list:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%

- (4) >75%

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| OO 32 | CORE | OO 3, OO 31 | N/A | PUBLIC | Report disclosure | GENERAL |

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 1 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1, 2 |

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 2 | CORE | PGS 1 | Multiple, see guidance | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 1 |

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 3 | CORE | PGS 1, PGS 2 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 6 |

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold

(J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting

Add link:

https://www.icm.limited/application/files/9017/1515/9370/ICML_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

(Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 5 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Which elements are covered in your organisation’s policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- (I) Other
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 6 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 7 | CORE | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy elements | 2 |

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 8 | CORE | PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 1 |

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 9 | CORE | PGS 2 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 1 |

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Specific guidelines on human rights

(1) for all of our AUM

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 10 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 2 |

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

(A) Listed equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(B) Fixed income

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(C) Private equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 10.1 | CORE | OO 9.1, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Responsible investment policy coverage | 2 |

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

(A) **Actively managed listed equity**

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) **100%**

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

(A) **Board members, trustees, or equivalent**

(B) **Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent**

Specify:

Charles Jillings, Director of ICM Limited. Charles is the Chairman of the ICM ESG Committee. Alasdair Younie, CEO and Director of ICM Limited and Duncan Saville, Director of ICM Limited.

(C) **Investment committee, or equivalent**

Specify:

The ICM ESG Committee has formal oversight over the Groups responsible investment strategy. Furthermore, each fund has its own investment committee which oversees the running of the fund.

(D) **Head of department, or equivalent**

Specify department:

Richard Anstead, Head of Compliance. Jack Cuddigan, ESG Manager. Jacqueline Broers, Deputy Portfolio Manager Utilico Emerging Markets Plc. Julie Laffy, Head of Marketing.

- (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11.1 | CORE | PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1, 2 |

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

| | (1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent | (2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent |
|---|--|---|
| (A) Overall approach to responsible investment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (H) Guidelines on exclusions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 11.2 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 – 6 |

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 12 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

- (A) Internal role(s)
Specify:
ESG Manager, Portfolio Managers for each fund and Head of Compliance.
- (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 13 | CORE | PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent
Describe: (Voluntary)
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 14 | CORE | PGS 11 | N/A | PUBLIC | Roles and responsibilities | 1 |

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

In calendar year 2024 ICM is looking to integrate an 'ESG contribution' indicator into each employees annual review document. If adopted, ICM will be able to capture how individuals have contributed to ESG throughout the year.

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 16 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- (E) Climate-related commitments
- (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- (G) Human rights-related commitments
- (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 17 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above

Explain why: (Voluntary)

We did not report in line with the TCFD recommendations as we currently sit outside of the reporting threshold. However, we have incorporated some of the recommendations into our responsible investment practices. For example, there is oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities at board and Investment committee level. At investee level we have included a climate risk assessment to help identify, assess, and manage climate-related risks and opportunities. We will look to incorporate more of the TCFD recommendations as we develop our climate-related financial disclosures.

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 19 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | External reporting and disclosures | 6 |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) **Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year**

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| PGS 20 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Capital allocation | 1 |

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- (A) **Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services**
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|
| PGS 21 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Capital allocation | 1 |

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- (A) **We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
 Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (2) **for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
 - (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (B) **We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
 Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

(C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

(D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 22 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

| | (1) Listed equity | (2) Fixed income | (3) Private equity |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| (A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues. | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PGS 24 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy | 2 |

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- (C) Other
- (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 29 | CORE | OO 9, PGS 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

- (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes
- (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear
- (C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed
- (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- (E) **Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations**

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 30 | CORE | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- (C) Other
- (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) **Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme**

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 31 | CORE | OO 9.1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- (D) **We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default**
- (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 32 | CORE | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM**
- (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 33 | CORE | OO 9 | PGS 33.1 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes
- (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source**

Explain why:

We currently log all proxyedge voting activities through an internal reporting system, which is presently held privately. However, we are looking at disclosing our voting activities on an aggregate basis through our annual report.

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 34 | CORE | OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: (Proxy) voting | 2 |

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

| | (1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations | (2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution |
|--|---|---|
| (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale | | |
| (B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company | (2) for a majority of votes | (2) for a majority of votes |
| (C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information | ○ | ○ |
| (D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year | ○ | ○ |

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 36 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 HF, OO 9 | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Escalation | 2 |

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one

(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal

(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter

(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director

(F) Divesting

(G) Litigation

(H) Other

(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 37 | CORE | Multiple, see guidance | N/A | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Escalation | 2 |

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

- (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one
- (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- (C) **Not investing**
- (D) **Reducing exposure to the investee entity**
- (E) **Divesting**
- (F) Litigation
- (G) Other
- (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| PGS 39 | CORE | OO 8, OO 9 | PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2 | PUBLIC | Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers | 2 |

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- (D) **We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI**
Explain why: (Voluntary)

CLIMATE CHANGE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 41 | CORE | N/A | PGS 41.1 | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- (A) **Yes, within our standard planning horizon**
Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We have incorporated a process-based climate risk identifier into our ESG analysis. For every investment we identify if climate change risk has a material impact to the investment. As a large proportion of our AUM is invested in companies that operate within the utilities, energy and infrastructure sectors there is a possibility that our investments will be exposed to climate change. As a follow on, if climate change is a material risk we go one step further to ensure that the company has considered this risk through conducting a climate risk assessment which takes into account different time horizons.

- (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 41.1 | CORE | PGS 41 | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

● (A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

We expect climate change to have a positive and negative impact on our investments. As mentioned previously we have a large proportion of our AUM invested in the utilities, energy, and infrastructure sectors resulting in the potential for ICM to be exposed to climate-related events. We currently mitigate the impact by having geographical diversification which is managed through investments in a certain country not exceeding a set percentage. If an investment is made into a company that has a material exposure to climate risk, then we will look to factor this in by applying a higher discount rate depending on the level of risk.

- (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 43 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- (D) Yes, using other scenarios
- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees**

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 44 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

Identify

Our exposure to climate-related risks within holdings is captured during the due diligence stage, and monitored at least annually via our in-house ESG template. We collect GHG emissions and climate change impact data (where reported or provided by the investee) within the 'environmental' section of the framework.

Assess

Each holding is allocated an ESG rating which incorporates a range of assessed ESG risks, including a company's exposure to physical and transitional climate-related risks. The ESG rating is used to apply a discount rate to an investment depending on the level of risk. Where climate-related risks pose a material threat, we will monitor the risk, and request that the investee completes a climate change risk assessment if they have not already undertaken this task.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

We have ESG on all investee board agendas, and climate change, is part of that discussion. Each investee is monitored at least annually and climate-related risks are assessed as part of this report. These reports are reviewed by the board and ICM's ESG Committee to monitor risks.

(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

Manage

All ESG risks, including climate-related ones, are monitored on an ongoing basis with a minimum of an annual review taking place. Through our monitoring and reporting of the risk, if the Board or ESG Committee deems an investee is not progressing in mitigating the risk, then escalation can occur in any of the following ways: contacting the board, voting against directors, reducing exposure or divestment.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

The process is integrated into our risk management in a similar way to how we assess and identify individual risks. Risks are reported to the board and ICM ESG Committee at least annually through reports. An action plan is created for each material risk, of which climate change is included. Following on, updates are then reported to the board and ESG Committee regularly.

(C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 45 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

(A) Exposure to physical risk

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

(1) Metric or variable used

- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(B) Exposure to transition risk

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (C) Internal carbon price
- (D) Total carbon emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
 - (F) Avoided emissions
 - (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
 - (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
 - (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
 - (J) Other metrics or variables
 - (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| PGS 46 | CORE | N/A | N/A | PUBLIC | Climate change | General |

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

- (A) Scope 1 emissions
- (B) Scope 2 emissions
- (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
- (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47.1 | CORE | PGS 47 | N/A | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement

- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- (J) Other international framework(s)
- (K) Other regional framework(s)
- (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- (M) **Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities**

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 47.2 | CORE | PGS 47 | PGS 48 | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) **Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities**
- (B) **Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities**
- (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- (D) **Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues**
- (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- (F) **Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives**
- (G) Other method
- (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PGS 48 | CORE | PGS 47.2 | PGS 48.1, SO 1 | PUBLIC | Sustainability outcomes | 1, 2 |

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) **Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities**
- (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| LE 1 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period

(1) for all of our AUM

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion

o

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors

o

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| LE 2 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Monitoring ESG trends | 1 |

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses

(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion

o

(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies

o

(B) Yes, we have a formal process but it does not include scenario analysis - Specify: (Voluntary)

ICM ESG Committee reviews and monitors ESG trends across our listed equity strategies. Discussions occur and actions are minuted when trends are identified.

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| LE 3 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes

o

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| LE 4 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in research | 1 |

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

(3) Active - fundamental

| | |
|--|------------------|
| (A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors | (1) in all cases |
| (B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors | (1) in all cases |
| (C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability | (1) in all cases |
| (D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors | (1) in all cases |
| (E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process | o |

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| LE 6 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG incorporation in portfolio construction | 1 |

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

o

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|
| LE 9 | CORE | OO 17.1 LE, OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG risk management | 1 |

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|
| LE 10 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | ESG risk management | 1 |

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings



(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities

(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents

(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion

(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| LE 12 | CORE | OO 17 LE, OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Disclosure of ESG screens | 6 |

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| PE 1 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Investment guidelines | 1 to 6 |

What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the sector(s) and geography(ies) where we invest
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the strategy(ies) and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture capital, buy-out and distressed
- (C) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, ESG opportunities and ESG incidents
- (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity-specific ESG guidelines

FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PE 2 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Commitments to investors | 1, 4 |

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| PE 3 | CORE | OO 21 | PE 3.1 | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?

(A) We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company-level ESG materiality analyses
- (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
- (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| PE 3.1 | CORE | PE 3 | N/A | PUBLIC | Materiality analysis | 1 |

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential private equity investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards used by development-focused financial institutions) in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We engaged with the prospective portfolio company to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (I) Other

DUE DILIGENCE

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| PE 4 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Due diligence | 1 |

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?

(A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)

(D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| PE 5 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Due diligence | 1 |

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?

(A) We do a high-level or desktop review using an ESG checklist for initial red flags

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments

- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors
- (D) We conduct site visits
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (I) Other
 - (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential private equity investments

POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| PE 6 | CORE | OO 21 | PE 6.1 | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1 |

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?

- (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors
- (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors
- (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors
 - Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:
 - (1) >0 to 10%
 - (2) >10 to 50%
 - (3) >50 to 75%
 - (4) >75 to 95%
 - (5) >95%
- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| PE 7 | CORE | OO 21 | PE 7.1 | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1, 2 |

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses
- (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio
- (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established
- (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures
- (F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users
- (G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes
- (H) Other
 - (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| PE 9 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1, 2 |

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments**
- (B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly**

Select from dropdown list

 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our private equity investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities**

Select from dropdown list

 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment**

Select from dropdown list

 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (E) Other
 - (F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| PE 12 | CORE | OO 21 | PE 12.1 | PUBLIC | Monitoring | 1, 2 |

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?

- (A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least yearly**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments**
- (D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)
- (E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments**
- (F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems
- (H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level

EXIT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| PE 13 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Exit | 4, 6 |

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?

- (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory
- (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD
- (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
- (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company
- (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold

- (G) Other
- (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|---|---------------|
| PE 14 | CORE | OO 21 | N/A | PUBLIC | Disclosure of ESG portfolio information | 6 |

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We used a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| CBM 1 | CORE | N/A | Multiple indicators | PUBLIC | Approach to confidence-building measures | 6 |

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL AUDIT

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| CBM 4 | CORE | OO 21, CBM 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Internal audit | 6 |

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

- (A) Policy, governance and strategy
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited
- (C) Listed equity
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited
 - (2) Processes internally audited
 - (3) Processes and data internally audited
- (E) Private equity
 - Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) Data internally audited

- (2) Processes internally audited
- (3) Processes and data internally audited

INTERNAL REVIEW

| Indicator | Type of indicator | Dependent on | Gateway to | Disclosure | Subsection | PRI Principle |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| CBM 6 | CORE | CBM 1 | N/A | PUBLIC | Internal review | 6 |

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

(A) Board, trustees, or equivalent

Sections of PRI report reviewed

- (1) the entire report
- (2) selected sections of the report

(B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

Sections of PRI report reviewed

- (1) the entire report
- (2) selected sections of the report

○ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year